UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,951	10/13/2000	Jeffrey L. Cleland	GEN02-002-US	8871
	7590 12/05/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
755 PAGE MIL	L RD	KAM, CHIH MIN		
PALO ALTO, C	CA 94304-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/687,951	CLELAND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	CHIH-MIN KAM	1656	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	031160
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowant) They are not deemed to place the application in between the application in between the second sec	**	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 20,40,41,48 and 60-66.		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>49</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>22,23,25-29,31,33,34,36,42,43,45-47,5</u>	0-59 and 68-73.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Chih-Min Kam/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1656	

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 20, 22-23, 25-28, 31, 36, 40-43, 45-66 and 68-73 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's reply does not overcome the rejection of claim 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph (see paragraph 5 of Office Action dated 7/17/08); and the obviousness- type double patenting rejection of claims 22, 23, 25-29, 31, 33, 34, 36, 42, 43, 45-47, 50-59 and 68-73 (see paragraph 8 of Office Action dated 7/17/08).

Regarding claim 29, Applicants indicate that the term "a tumor necrosis factor derivative", "a CD protein", "a portion of an antibody", "a fragment of gp120", "a fragment of gp160" or "a Fab fragment". are definite and would be readily understood by one skill in the art (page 10 of the response).

Applicants' response has been considered. Regarding the term "CD protein", the argument is persuasive, the rejection is withdrawn. However, regarding other terms, the arguments are not persuasive because these terms are related to fragments and variants of a protein or an antibody, and the specification does not define them, thus it is not clear what are the metes and bounds for the terms.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached at 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Chih-Min Kam/ Primary Patent Examiner

CMK December 3, 2008.